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Recommendation: minor revisions

I would like to thank the authors for addressing my previous comments. The quality of the manuscript has improved significantly. I would like to recommend a few minor revisions to address a few methodological issues and to highlight the importance of their findings further.

1. Overall the authors highlight bivariate correlations whereas the findings of the regression model are more robust and more helpful that linear correlations. I would therefore talk about regressions first then correlations. This revision would affect highlights, abstract, results and discussion sections.
2. I wonder why the adjustment for demographic factors, clinical factors and illness characteristics has not been integrated in a hierarchical regression model. E.g. block 1 demographic variables. Those who are significant could be included in block 2 (clinical factors) and so on.
3. Figure 2 is not informative in the text. I would move it in the supplementary material.
4. Statistical analyses. This section is very long. If the authors cannot/do not wish to shorten this section I would recommend having headers e.g. exploratory analyses, regression, and correlations to facilitate the understanding of the analytical strategy. Please provide the statistical threshold for the significance of your analyses.
5. Given that you did not perform structural equation modelling I think that the word “mediation” can be misleading. I would like more evidence that correlations can indeed test this mediational hypothesis. Alternatively, I would encourage you to replace it with words such as association, link etc.. This would affect highlights, abstract, results and discussion.
6. I would recommend using headers (as suggested for the the statistical sections) in the results section too.
7. The discussion section is very long. I would 1. Make it concise and “to the point”; 2. Please avoid repeat all the results you found but rather focus on compelling findings (regression first for instance); and overall provide more references.